INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense! Witnesses	Page
TAKEDA, Hisashi (resumed)	19376
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19397
(Witness excused)	19381
KAWABE, Torashiro	19393
Direct by Mr. Mattice	19393
MORNING RECESS	19396
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr	19425
NOON RECESS	19427
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19428
AFTERNOON RECESS	19452
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19453
(Witness excused)	19470

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

No.		Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1767-A	2406		Telegram from General HAYASHI to DOHIHARA, Kenji dated 13 October 1931 - Telegram No. 963	19384	
1767-В	2407		Telegram from General HAYASHI to DOHIHARA, Kenji dated 17 October 1931 - Telegram No. 1013	19384	
266	2408		Affidavit of KAWABE, Torashiro		19394
2979	2409		Instructions to KAWABE, Torashire concerning Stratagem, dated 5 October 1932	,	19469

Friday, 4 April 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, same as before. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

h

V.

Spratt & Yelden

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, MATSUI and TOGO, who are represented by counsel. The prison surgeon of Sugamo Prison certifies that the accused MATSUI and TOGO are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

Mr. Mattice.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

HISASHI TAKEDA, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and
testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

MR. MATTICE: It appears to the defense, if the Tribunal please, that the instrument from which counsel is reading and making reference to ought to be marked for identification and made available for inspection by the defense. We ask that that be done.

THE PRESIDENT: We will insist upon that at some stage or other. This is just the way to destroy the surprise element in letters -- to require the immediate tendering of the document. As far as I am aware, the leading example of the effectiveness of letters in cross-examination was that of Sir Charles Russell in the Pigott Torgery case and he did not

19

21

22 23

tender the letters until he had completed the crossexamination; but we will insist upon that document being tendered for identification when Mr. Carr has completed his cross-examination.

I may say that the American practice, as stated by Colonel Warren yesterday, is accepted in Wigmore as being the practice although it is not approved apparently by the American Bar Association; but I should make it clear that Colonel Warren did state correctly the American practice which differs from the British.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we have not the slightest objection to tendering the document. The position is, we can tender the Japanese but the translation has not yet been completely copied and if the Japanese version -- original -- is marked for identification we will be glad to be allowed to continue to use it in order to complete the processing of the document. I have sent for the Japanese, your Honor; whether it will be in court by the moment I complete my cross-examination -- I have only one or two more questions -- I am not quite sure.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q General TAKEDA, as I understand from your

affidavit you deal with the period down to the 4th of February 1932; is that right?

A Yes.

And during all that time, according to you, General HONJO was engaged in trying to localize the affair and avoid further advances?

A That is what I said.

Q In doing that was he carrying out the orders of the Japanese Government?

A He handled matters, not on the instructions of the Japanese Government, but at the orders of the Chief of the Army General Staff. At times General HONJO himself handled matters in order to localize the affair within the scope of the authority delegated to him but as to fundamental policy he followed the orders as communicated to him by the Chief of the Army General Staff.

Q But didn't you tell us at page 12 of your affidavit this: "But now that the Government had definitely adopted the policy of localizing the affair, the Commander of the Army had to be most deliberate in making decisions"? Didn't you say that?

THE MONITOR: Just a moment, please. We are still interpreting. We cannot find the corresponding passage in the Japanese version. We want to be specific:

kin i

we don't want to take any chances.

MR. COMYNS CARR: All right.

A As the situation in Kirin became extremely acute the absolute necessity of protecting the South Manchuria Railway was an authority delegated to the commanding general of the Kwantung Army.

Q Now would you mind answering my question?

Did you not state in your affidavit that the General was endeavoring to carry out the orders, the decision, of the Japanese Government?

A Yes, I have so testified in my affidavit.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well, now then, do you know that according to the accused ARAKI's statement, exhibit 188-C, the Japanese Government had decided as early as the 17th of December that the whole of the four provinces, that is the three northeastern provinces and Jehol, should be occupied by the Kwantung Army?

A I do not know that.

Q And further he told us that he had himself signed an order to that Cifect?

A I do not know.

Q Do you want this Court to believe that General HONJO was so anxious not to extend this campaign that he disobeyed the orders of the War Ministry to do so?

A There has been no case of any disobedience to the orders of the central army authorities.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That is all I have.

MR. BANNO: No redirect examination, your Honor. May the witness be permitted to leave the court on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I think we should reserve the right to redirect upon the document being produced here. We may want to examine into the questions on this document and

3

~

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

21

22

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: They are insisting on the application of the Federal rule in America, which I understand is also the Canadian rule.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In any event, your Honor, as I was not in a position to put the document to the witness as a document, it not being his document, in my submission there is no obligation upon me to produce the document or show it to the defense before I use it; nor had they any right to re-examine upon it, in my submission, as a document. They can re-examine upon the facts as put to the witness.

I have it now -- or rather I have them, because what I was putting was sentences from two documents, and we have no objection to their being marked for identification providing, as a matter of convenience, we can have them back to complete the translation. But in my submission that gives the defense no right to postpone their redirect examination.

THE PRESIDENT: However, the witness is released on the usual terms and will be recalled if necessary in the interest of a fair trial.

(Whoroupon, the witness was

excused.)

have some redirect questions on that.

THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual terms. If necessary in the interest of a fair trial he will be recalled.

oution will put in this document as soon as it has been processed. In the future, your Honor, I would like to suggest that as a matter of orderly procedure it would give us a lot of protection if the document is first identified. Then we would know that it is processed, and we would have a chance to examine it during cross-examination and be ready for redirect examination at the finish.

Honor, that the defense are trying to have it both ways. Yesterday they objected quite properly to my using the document as a document because the witness was not able to identify it, not having been a party to it. They pointed out, your Honor, that I was only entitled to put to the witness the facts contained in the document and not the document itself because it was not his document.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think they conceded even that much, Mr. Carr.

IR. COMYNS CARR: Perhaps not conceded, but --

THE PRESIDENT: Captain brooks.

ments that have been presented are not tied into the record in the question that was asked, and that is why I have suggested that if this document had been presented he could have asked the questions and told what exhibit number he was asking from, and we could tell from the record, then, later on. As it is now, we cannot find it out. I did not mean that the document should be identified by the witness. I mean it should be identified by the Court so that we could know what the witness is having presented to him.

THE PRESIDENT: If he comes into the box again we will insist on that for our own information.

MR. BROOKS: The other point that I have in mind, in answer to the counsel, was that when a document has been identified to the Court for the questioning of the witness we should have it to check the authenticity and source of the document that the prosecution intends to use for cross-examination, so that we may properly re-examine upon the same document if we see it necessary.

G 1 0 2 d b 3 e 4

5

THE PRESIDENT: Everything has been done to date that justice requires. I think we will terminate this discussion.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 1767-A will be marked exhibit 2406 for identification only, and prosecution document No. 1767-B will be marked exhibit 2407 for identification only.

(Whereupon, document No. 1767-A was marked exhibit 2406 for identification, and prosecution document No. 1767-B was marked exhibit 2407 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Nattice.

MR. MATTICE: At this time, if the Tribunal please, the defense offers the affidavit of ISHIHARA, Kanji, defense document 886. However, the affiant maker of this affidavit is not present.

There has been processed and distributed the certificate of HATSUMI, Kichiro, doctor of medicine, under defense document No. 889. Doctor HATSUMI is the chief of the Suginami surgery, Suginami Ward, Tokyo. I will not read the whole of the affidavit, but it certifies that this individual has what is called in medical terms vesical papilloms of the bladder and degenerated cancroid

K p 1

g

&

e 9 u 10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23

papilloma.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Give us the last paragraph. MR. MATTICE: The last paragraph at the bottom of page 2:

"After the operation" --

THE PRESIDENT: The last paragraph is treatment, on page 3, Mr. Mattice. That is what I mean.

MR. MATTICE (reading): "There are signs of cerebral anaemia and hematuria when the patient moves. I admit that it will be difficult for him to walk or stand erect, not to mention a train trip. I admit that he must be kept a strict bed-patient till next August. His appearance in court would be naturally impossible."

This affidavit was executed on March 20, 1947. Now, if the Tribunal please, as naturally we desire to present the evidence of this witness by affidavit at this time, and as from the affidavit it appears that it will not be likely that the witness can be in court for at least sometime in the future, we now offer in evidence defense document 886, the affidavit of ISHIHARA, Kanji.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the position of this affidavit is in cer-

tain respects different from the position in connection with the affidavit of the witness SHIMAMOTO which was before the Tribunal yesterday. It must be conceded that the medical certificate is more complete in this case and that if accepted, the witness will be unable to attend for some time. But it is submitted that the principles mentioned by Mr. Tavenner yesterday in the SHIMAMOTO case apply with equal force to this affidavit.

This man has undoubtedly played a very important part in Manchurian affairs. He was staff officer in charge of operations with the Kwantung Army in September, 1931. He was connected with various important organizations in Manchuria, which materially concern these proceedings. Testimony already given in this case both on behalf of the prosecution and of the defendant directly involve ISHIHARA. The prosecution held an affidavit, another affidavit, made by ISHIHARA last year.

For all these reasons it is considered most important that if it is at all practicable this man should be subjected to cross-examination. I will not repeat the matters mentioned by Mr. Tavenner yesterday, but I desire to make the following submission: It is respectfully submitted that in the

circumstance
for an indep
to be made.
necessary in
informed abo

circumstances the Tribunal should give directions for an independent medical examination of this man to be made. It is suggested that this course is necessary in order that the Tribunal may be properly informed about this very important matter.

It is further submitted that no hardship could be caused by postponing the reading of this affidavit until the report of such medical examination is received. It is therefore submitted that the affidavit should not be read at this stage.

perhaps, for any tribunal to have to decide as between doctors where the issue involves removing a person supposed to be seriously ill; but the Charter gives us power to take evidence on commission. We could appoint an officer to take evidence. The Charter contemplates the appointment of an officer, but it does not preclude the appointment of a Member of the Court, which I think we would prefer if we decide on a commission. On a commission there could be cross-examination.

Well, the judges favor a commission in this case to be taken by one of the judges. We realize his evidence is of the greatest importance.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: My learned friend, Mr Mattice, and I, if it please the Tribunal, respectfully suggest that the details of the commission should be worked out in Chambers.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the usual practice.

There are long commissions and short commissions, and

there is a lot of work involved in either. I suppose a short commission would suffice here.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I should imagine it would, if it please your Honor.

MR. MATTICE: I assume, if the Tribunal please, that such examination would be one <u>de novo</u> and the affidavit not read now.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that will have to be worked out. If he were here, we would accept his affidavit. At some stage or other the whole of the evidence taken by the commission would have to be read and translated in Court.

I think the judges would prefer the affidavit to be read on commission and the whole of the proceedings on the commission read again here and translated to conform to the Charter. The commission should be issued and executed almost immediately, Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: Very well, sir. If done so, we might still have it ready and presented in time to take it in order, that is, in this section of this division.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I would like to be heard. I have an objection to make to the procedure. The objection I have is this, your

3

1

5

4

7

9

10

12

14 15

16

17

19 20

21

22

Honor. Our defense forces are rather small.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Brooks, will you kindly speak into the microphone, please. We are having terrible difficulty in hearing this morning.

MR. BROOKS: If the commission is appointed and the affidavit is read before the commission, it will have to be reread again before this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: I have already said so twice.

MR. BROOKS: In that instance, it will mean that the work of the commission would take much longer.

THE PRESIDENT: We will take the matter on Monday, which is a holiday.

MR. BROCKS: I am thinking, your Honor, of several other witnesses that would fall in the same category; and if we set this precedent, most of our defense would be busy on commission work when there is other work going on in this Court. That is why I am asking to be heard.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot set up a court as big as this in a hospital or in a room in a hospital.

MR. BROCKS: May I be heard further, your Honor? If we can have these affidavits read before the Court here, the prosecution can limit the amount of the cross-examination to that which is necessary,

and the Court has a chance to pass upon it, and it would save a great amount of time. And on some of these cases where the affidavit is read before the Court, it is possible that the prosecution would only have one or two questions to ask and it would limit the amount of work before that commission.

THE PRESIDENT: The defense have the affidavit. It is their affidavit. They know what is
in it. They know now what questions they would ask.
What disadvantage to you is it if it is not read
now in Court? You have read it, I suppose, more
than once already.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BROOKS: I have a drawer-full of these affidavits, your Honor, that have been so close off the press I haven't had a chance to read them and I can't be here in court and look after the interests of my client and before a commission too. Many of the other counsel are in the same position, and if we have that affidavit read before the Court, we don't know whether we should attend the commission and be ready to put any other questions on it; and that is the reason I am bringing this point up.

THE PRESIDENT: In other words we are to waste the Court's time in order to save time for the defense. We do not take that attitude.

MR. BROOKs: I don't see how it can waste the Court's time, your Honor, because it has to be read in the future and we have to read these proceedings each day.

THE PRESIDENT: The commission will issue today or tomorrow and the evidence will be taken on Monday in the hospital.

MR. MATTICE: The defense will new call the witness, KAWABE, Torashiro.

24

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, I have just been informed about a matter I did not know about before. The witness ISHIHARA is not in Tokyo, but he is at a point some 300 miles from Tokyo, and, knowing something about the difficulties of travel and the obtaining of orders for travel, I am certain that that being the case, we could not take this examination on Monday.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it possible to take the train or a plane to that place?

MR. MATTICE: I am not informed as to that and will have to make inquiry.

TORASHIRO KAWABE, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn
testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MATTICE:

Q You may give your name and address to this Tribunal, please.

A My name, KAWABE, Torashiro; my address is 460 Azao-machi Jindai Mura, Kita Tama gun, Tokyo.

Q What is your business or profession?

A I have no occupation. I am not engaged in anything at the present time.

MR. MATTICE: The defense now offers in evidence, if the Court please, defense document 266, which is the affidavit of the witness now on the stand.

THE PREFIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

266 will receive exhibit No. 2408.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2408 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: (Reading) "I, KAWADE, Torashiro, was a member of the Second (operations) Section of the General Staff from December, 1922 (11th year of Taisho) till 1925 (14th year of Taisho). I was again a member of the same Section from April, 1929 (4th year of Showa) till January, 1932 (7th year of Showa) and during this period encountered the outbreak of the Mukden Incident in September, 1931 (6th year of Showa). Later my duties were changed to those of troop disposition at the Central Command, so I shall make a statement about matters concerning troop disposition at the Central Command from the time previous to the incident to the time of my leaving office, according to what I remember.

"I. Outlook of the Central Command on a worldwide Basis on the International Situation prior to the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident.

"The Central Command, due to its appointed task of working out of troop disposition for national defense, had to keep constant observation of the current outlook. Moreover, since there was a military and navel disarmament problem of world-wide scope, at the time I was on duty with the General Staff, the Central Command was keenly investigating the international situation to determine a basis for Japanese armaments."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice, when you were

introducing this matter I was talking to my associate and I don't know now whether this affidavit was shown to him and whether he identified it as his.

MR. MATTICE: I believe not.

THE PRESIDENT: A vigilant colleague said it was not.

BY MR. MATTICE (Continued):

Q Mr. Vitness, will you examine the instrument which has been handed you which is marked defense document 266 and tell this Tribunal whether that is your affidavit?

- A This is my affidavit.
 - Q 'You signed it?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Are the matters and things set forth in it true?
 - A Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

("hereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

20

22 23

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

Eder & Greenberg

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE MONITOR: Just before the recess the witness said "My statement is true. But, may I add a word?"

THE PRESIDENT: Well, add a word; what is it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I stated the truth in my affidavit, but I have some misgivings as to whether or not my true feelings have been well expressed in the English translation. My reason for so stating is that the other day, when the defense explained to me the English translation -- part of the English translation of my affidavit, I found certain mistakes which I then corrected. But, inasmuch as the entire text was not explained to me, I have some misgivings that there might be other passages which require correction. That is all I wish to state.

MR. MATTICE: I have assurance, if the Court please, from Japanese counsel that the matters referred to by the witness are not of consequence, and I have the notations made at the points in the affidavit referred to by the witness and shall call attention to them as I will be reading them.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, with regard to the point which the witness has taken, it is surely undesirable that an English translation should be read if the witness has reason to be apprehensive as to its correctness.

THE PRESIDENT: He has pointed out some errors of little or no consequence, according to Mr. Mattice, and he may point out some similar errors. Why postpone this proceeding until a correct English translation is made, one that he agrees with? Why do so?

MR. COMYNS CARR: If your Honor pleases, I have another objection which I rose to take to this affidavit. It is full of references to documents which are neither produced nor is their absence in any way accounted for. In our submission, a witness is not entitled to give from his recollection the contents of a document which should be available to the defense or, alternately, they should be able to tell us what has become of it. We thought it best to raise this point at the beginning of the affidavit rather than to interrupt over and over again when reference to such document is reached.

THE PRESIDENT: We apply the rule that the only way to prove the contents of a document is by

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

producing the document if it is available. That is the only safeguard that we can state.

IR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor. But, if that rule is applied to this affidavit, the affidavit would require almost complete redrafting.

THE PRESIDENT: We have to read it to discover that, unfortunately.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: I take it I need not reread the two paragraphs which I read before. It will appear in the transcript without rereading them?

THE PRESIDENT: I say with some confidence that every word you have said in court today has been recorded for the transcript.

MR. MATTICE (Reading):

"The general outlook of the Central Command on the world situation around 1930 (5th year of Showa) was as follows:

"1. The competition for world-domination among the Western peoples, especially the European powers, was brought to a conclusion with the First World War. As a result of this, their energy which had been directed towards East Asia on a world-wide basis, whether by pushing forward through the continent or along the coast, had largely diminished, at

0

19 20

21

23 24

least for the time.

group" -- at this point, if the Tribunal please, the

witness' correction there is that he says he said
"the other group" instead of "this one group"; so
that, if corrected according to his notion, it would
read, "--- the other group, which crossed the Atlantic
and established a strong solid foundation in North
America, has been strengthening by leaps and bounds

"2. Among the western peoples, this one

its world-controlling activities, as a result of the

First World War, towards the Asiatic mainland crossing the Pacific and, moreover, is continuing to do so

more and more.

"3. China, who, from the middle of the 19th century, had been forced to place the greater part of her national rights at the disposal of foreign countries with slight or almost no resistance, is now disposed, in response to the actual situation mentioned in (1), to resist foreign advance and is developing an enthusiastic tendency to recover her national rights. Although she is now abstaining from such unqualified anti-foreign activities as were resorted to in some areas of Middle and South China in 1926, this tendency is by no means diminishing, but is increasing especially in Manchuria, where it is being followed

by the local war-lords.

"4. Japan, who gained a powerful position in the international scene at comparatively small cost in the First World War, is now laboring under active reactionary pressure" -- the witness states that that should be "laboring under various reactionary pressures from the respective powers.

"II. The Central Command's National Defense Outlook at the time.

"The Central Command, taking a general view of the international situation as previously mentioned, took consideration of Japan's national defense outlook and position based on this as follows:

"1. As indicated in #1 of the previous section, there was no danger of a direct clash between Japan and Russia in the immediate future in connection with the latter's policy towards East Asia, which has traditionally," and the witness says it should read "which had traditionally been a great threat to Japanese national defense.

3

7

8

9

10

11

"2. The inter-relation of (3) and (4) of the previously given estimation would have made it probable that Japan would be reduced to a passive status difficult to bear. If, however, relations between Japan and China were to become complicated and if Japan's position were to affect too adversely the policy of the United States towards China or in the Pacific, there would be a possibility of this developing into a war with both China and the United States.

"3. If such a war as the above were to break out. Japan. from the standpoint of national

19.375

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

19.375

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

"2. The inter-relation of (3) and (4) of the previously given estimation would have made it probable that Japan would be reduced to a passive status difficult to bear. If, however, relations between Japan and China were to become complicated and if Japan's position were to affect too adversely the policy of the United States towards China or in the Pacific, there would be a possibility of this developing into a war with both China and the United States.

"3. If such a war as the above were to break out, Japan, from the standpoint of national strength, would have very little prospect of seeing it through.

"4. The Soviet Union of the time was a young nation and was maintaining a passive attitude in her foreign policy, but it was necessary to consider the possibility that such a war as mentioned above should break out and bring Japan to bay, Soviet Russia might participate in the war with the opposite side in order to gain international prominence with the least effort and at the slightest costs. This is evidenced in the history of the development of the Russian race and again from the standpoint of her national feeling, one can think

2 3

1

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

0

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

6 7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

of the probability of her having not gotten rid of her spirit of vengeance for the Russo-Japanese War of 1904-1905. If, in this manner, it becomes a war where we have these three nations as opponents, Japan's chance prospects of seeing the war through would become increasingly slim.

"5. That above conclusions having been reached, we had to anticipate a war which would spread without a doubt to several nations, leading to a situation beyond our control if a large-scale military clash should arise between Japan and China.

"As the Central Command had arrived at the above conclusion, we made plans for national defense aiming at the maintenance of our existing rights and put the minimum of our defense power on the continent of Asia. Moreover, within the limits of my knowledge, I can not recollect a single person in a responsible position in the Central Command who advocated positively the adoption of active militaristic measures against other countries or to claim any new rights from China. On the contrary, every one had a restrained attitude even towards the fervent trend on the part of China to recover her rights.

> "III. Troop Disposition Plans of the Central Command at the Time.

"As the Central Command had taken a national defense outlook, as stated in the previous section, their troop disposition plans were fundamentally very passive. They did not have any plans made to fight against several countries at the same time, but only a plan of troop disposition to fight singly with China, the United States, or with the U.S.S.R. respectively, based on the fact that if, in the event that war should break out for one reason or another, they had expected their opponents to be limited to but one nation by the use of political or diplomatic measures."

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this is the first of the documents that I referred to. It is quite clear, in my submission, that these plans must have been in writing, and there is no attempt either to produce them or to account for their absence.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know whether he means documents or just preparations. I don't know.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it so happens that we have one of them and it is a document, but we haven't the others.

MR. MATTICE: Counsel assumes that they were in writing. We may just as reasonably assume that

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

the plans were being discussed verbally and that this witness is --

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, there is a simple remedy for that.

MR. MATTICE: I haven't finished.

And that the witness' statement in this affidevit may be based on the conversations which occurred and in which he participated.

THE PRESIDENT: It is inconceivable that these things would not be reduced to writing. Plan of troop disposition.

MR. MATTICE: I think I should say at this point that we have been wondering about that and we have sought these top secret documents. We have made requests at Washington for them and we have not been able to get them, and if they exist we don't know. We have made efforts to get them and we don't receive them. And I desire to add that we do not find them in the place where they ought to be, that is, in the offices of the Japanese government.

THE PRESIDENT: In future account for documents referred to in the affidavits and save time.

MR. MATTICE: (Reading) "And the so-called

war plans against China were but plans for a temporary expedition to China to meet the situations arising from special relations between China and Japan and were not plans based on an idea of total war.

"As for the United States war -- "

The witness' suggestion with respect to the English translation there is that it should be read: "As for war against the United States, although it is true that we make a tentative plan of tactical offensive movements against the Philippines and Guam to be carried out by a part of our armed forces for the purpose of strategic defense, we considered it necessary that we made a strategic defense stand in various other areas. For this reason I planned General Staff manoeuvres in order to study realistically a way of defense to stop United States troops from invading Formosa and Hokkaido, and this was carried out in May, 1930 (5th year of Showa) and June, 1931 (6th year of Showa), with the then chief of the 1st section, Major General HATA, Shunroku, as Commander-in-Chief. And as for the orerations plan against Russia, these were of a very abstract nature in those days. We planned only a basic plan in anticipation of encounters on the frontiers of South and North Manchuria and we were

0

16

18

10

11

13

14

15

19 20

21

23

24

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

13

19

21

22

23 24

25

thinking of making a defensive stand in northern Korea.

"IV. The Outbreak of the So-called 'Fanchurian Incident' and the Central Command's Counter-Measures.

"Not long after my taking office for the second time with General Staff Feadquarters, it was a fact that circumstances in Manchuria had developed to a situation where they were gradually arousing the concern of the Central Command. The anti-Japanese policy in Manchuria, the center of which was the Mukden regime, was getting more and more open and situation made us fear that our acquired rights in Marchuria might disappear and our minimum defensive means on the continent might become destroyed. What especially strongly irritated the Central Command was the true existence of complications between the Soviet Union and the Mukden regime since the summer of 1929 (4th year of Showa). Accordingly, this fact gave the Central Command an uneasy feeling that the Mukden regime might, imposing upon the patient attitude of other parties (translator's note, Japan), take up some direct steps and might not hesitate to even resort to arms. Thereupon, the Central Command did strengthen their

thinking of making a defensive stand in northern Korea.

"IV. The Outbreak of the So-called
'Manchurian Incident' and the Central
Command's Counter-Measures.

"Not long after my taking office for the second time with General Staff Headquarters, it was a fact that circumstances in Manchuria had developed to a situation where they were gradually arousing the concern of the Central Command. The anti-Japanese policy in Manchuria, the center of which was the Mukden regime, was getting more and more open and situation made us fear that our acquired rights in l'anchuria might disappear and our minimum defensive means on the continent might become destroyed. What especially strongly irritated the Central Command was the true existence of complications between the Soviet Union and the Mukden regime since the summer of 1929 (4th year of Showa). Accordingly, this fact gave the Central Command an uneasy feeling that the Mukden regime might, imposing upon the patient sttitude of other parties (translator's note, Japan), take up some direct steps and might not hesitate to even resort to arms. Thereupon, the Central Command did strengthen their

25

the previously given estimation would have made it probable that Japan would be reduced to a passive status difficult to bear. If, however, relations between Japan and China were to become complicated and if Japan's position were to affect too adversely the policy of the United States towards China or in the Pacific, there would be a possibility of this developing into a war with both China and the United States.

"3. If such a war as the above were to break out, Japan, from the standpoint of national strength, would have very little prospect of seeing it through.

young nation and was maintaining a passive attitude in her foreign policy, but it was necessary to consider the possibility that such a war as mentioned above should break out and bring Japan to bay, Soviet Russia might participate in the war with the opposite side in order to gain international prominence with the least effort and at the slightest costs. This is evidenced in the history of the development of the Russian race and again from the standpoint of her national feeling, one can think

of the probability of her having not gotten rid of her spirit of vengeance for the Russo-Japanese War of 1904-1905. If, in this manner, it becomes a war where we have these three nations as opponents, Japan's chance prospects of seeing the war through would become increasingly slim.

"5. That above conclusions having been reached, we had to ancitipate a war which would spread without a doubt to several nations, leading to a situation beyond our control if a large-scale military clash should arise between Japan and China.

"As the Central Command had arrived at the above conclusion, we made plans for national defense aiming at the maintenance of our existing rights and put the minimum of our defense power on the continent of Asia. Moreover, within the limits of my knowledge, I can not recollect a single person in a responsible position in the Central Command who advocated positively the adoption of active militaristic measures against other countries or to claim any new rights from China. On the contrary, every one had a restrained attitude even towards the fervent trend on the part of China to recover her rights.

"III. Troop Disposition Plans of the Central Command at the time.

1 .

"As the Central Command had taken a national defense outlook, as stated in the previous section, their troop disposition plans were fundamentally very passive. They did not have any plans made to fight against several countries at the same time, but only a plan of troop disposition to fight singly with China, the United States, or with the U.S.S.R. respectively, based on the fact that if, in the event that war should break out for one reason or another, they had expected their opponents to be limited to but one nation by the use of political or diplomatic measures."

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this is the first of the documents that I referred to. It is quite clear, in my submission, that these plans must have been in writing, and there is no attempt either to produce them or to account for their absence.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know whether he means documents or just preparations. I don't know.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, it so happens that we have one of them and it is a document, but we haven't the others.

MR. MATTICE: Counsel assumes that they were in writing. We may just as reasonably assume that

. 5

the plans were being discussed verbally and that this witness is --

MR. COMYNS CANR: Your Honor, there is a simple remedy for that.

MR. MATTICE: I haven't finished.

And that the witness' statement in this affidevit may be based on the conversations which occurred and in which he participated.

THE PRESIDENT: It is inconceivable that these things would not be reduced to writing. Plan of troop disposition.

PR. MATTICE: I think I should say at this point that we have been wondering about that and we have sought these top secret documents. We have made requests at Washington for them and we have not been able to get them, and if they exist we don't know. We have made efforts to get them and we don't receive them. And I desire to add that we do not find them in the place where they ought to be, that is, in the offices of the Japanese government.

THE PRESIDENT: In future account for documents referred to in the affidavits and save time.

MR. MATTICE: (Reading) "And the so-called

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

war plans against China were but plans for a temporary expedition to China to meet the situations arising from special relations between China and Japan and were not plans based on an idea of total war.

"As for the United States war --"

The witness' suggestion with respect to the English translation there is that it should be read: "As for war against the United States, although it is true that we make a tentative plan of tactical offensive movements against the Philippines and Guam to be carried out by a part of our armed forces for the purpose of strategic defense, we considered it necessary that we made a strategic defense stand in various other areas. For this reason I planned General Staff manoeuvres in order to study realistically a way of defense to stop United States troops from invading Formosa and Hokkaido, and this was carried out in May, 1930 (5th year of Showa) and June, 1931 (6th year of Showa), with the then chief of the 1st section, Major General HATA, Shunroku, as Commender-in-Chief. And as for the operations plan against Russia, these were of a very abstract nature in those days. We planned only a basic plan in anticipation of encounters on the frontiers of South and North Manchuria and we were

22

24

attention and vigilance towards l'anchuria than
theretofore, but this neither included any amendments in their troop disposition plans against China
nor in their relations towards l'anchuria too did
it differ from heretofore, but --"

And the vitness there desires substituted for the word "but," "that is to say."

Manchurian Railway and those in the adjoining areas, and to safeguard the Japanese residents in these and other important areas such as the Chinntao district or the city of Harbin, where many Japanese resided. And in order to fulfill this program, the Central Command set a basic plan to the Kwantung and Korean armies, and ordered the two armies to make detailed plans according to it. There was included the consideration of reinforcing the former army by the latter.

"V. Duties Imposed Upon the Kwantung Army and Its Troop Strength etc.

"The duty given the Kwantung Army by the Central Command at the time was 'to defend our leased territory of Kwantung-Chow and to protect the South Manchurian Railroad which belonged to our country.' The Central Command from the days of

3

5

7

8

10

12

14

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

peace had ordered the commander of the Kwantung Army to set up a plan to cope with any emergencies, and to have our troops occupy and defend the important areas along the South Manchurian Railroad, in the event that it became necessary for Japan to resort to arms in Manchuria.

"Moreover, it is said that for the purpose of protecting the South Manchurian Railroad 15 men per one kilometer were allowed for disposition. This right, which Russia previously held, was succeeded to by Japan on the basis of the Sino-Japanese treaty, and for protection of the approximate 1,000 kilometer length of the railway Japan had the right to station 15,000 men. In 1931, however, our troop strength in Manchuria was the 'Independent Garrison Force' under Major General MORI, which was exclusively assigned to guarding of the railway, having the strength of 6 battalions totaling four thousand and several hundred men, and also the Second Division, having approximately 5,500 men, under Lieutenant General TAMON, which was stationed in Kwantung-Chow, south of Liaoyang. The total of these two, amounting to an approximate strength of 10,000, was under the command of Lieutenant General HONJO, the then commander in chief of the Kwantung Army.

Whalen & Duda

"VI. The Situation in the Central Command at the Time of the Outbreak of the Mukden Incident.

"From spring to autumn of 1931 (the 6th year of Showa), disagreeable incidents between Japan and China such as the Wanpaoshan Incident and the Captain NAKAMURA Incident had occurred one after another in Manchuria and the Central Command foresaw that the situation was going from bad to worse, but they did not have a presentiment that in the near future such a great incident would break out as would require momentous activity on the part of the Central Command. On the night of September 18 however the Mukden Incident sucienly broke out.

"I shall mention the situation that existed in the Central Command (for three or four days about the time of the outbreak of this incident) based on my memory and according mainly with my own activities as follows:

"(1) Early in the morning of September 19,
I myself learned of the outbreak of the incident in
the vicinity of Mukden from the morning newspapers
at home at the time. I had no telephone at home and
living in the suburbs of Tokyo I was not able to
catch a taxi so early in the morning, so I went to
the office by tram car the same as usual only

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

somewhat earlier. It was a little past seven o'clock when I arrived at Weneral Staff Headquarters. The office was quiet as there were few persons who had arrived but I knew that my Section Chief, Colonel IMAMURA had already come but was not able to find him. A little later since, I learned that the chief was conferring with some person in the reception room for the use of the Chief of the General Staff. I knocked at the door of the room to see him, but he came out and said, 'Wait awhile' and then closed the door, without listening to what I had to say. I returned to my room and was preparing for urgent business but we were short-handed as some of my junior officers were absent on leave for personal reasons. Around nine o'clock Section Chief IMAMURA came to me with a happy look and showed me a slip of paper on which several lines of characters were written in pencil and said, 'The Vice-Chief of the General Staff (Lieutenant General NINOMIYA, Harushige), Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the Army (Lieutenant General KOISO, Kuniaki) and others reached this resolution after a deliberate investigation from this morning. The slip of paper read: Actuated by this incident, the army expects to solve the Manchurian problem. By 'solving the Manchurian problem' it

0

18

20

21

22

24

is meant that Chang Hsueh-liang shall be expected to fulfill present treaties to the letter.

"At this time Colonel IMAMURA explained to
me that at the foregoing conference, the leaders fell
in with the view that the actions of the Kwantung
army were admissible as exercising the right of selfdefence, but utmost care should be taken not to
spread out to the extreme within the realm of exercise
of military power. He told me further that since
Lieutenant General SUGIYAMA, Gen, the Vice War
Minister, was absent due to temporary illness
Lieutenant General KOISO had come in his place.

out of the conference room, I think about 9 o'clock, I read the telegraphic report addressed to the Chief of the General Staff from General HATASHI, Senjuro, Commander of the Korean Army, and learned that a step had been taken to dispatch to Manchuria a unit led by a brigade commander chiefly consisting of five infantry battalions in order to relieve the Kwantung army near Mukden. I personally knew that the Kwantung unit near Mukden was a small force and felt that the step taken by the commander of the Korean Army should be approved, and expressed my opinion regarding this to my senior officer, but by the leaders of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

General Staff, reinforcement of troops in Manchuria was looked upon as an immediate occasion to widen the incident and it was decided to order the commander of the Korean Army to stop such action. They immediately took measures to send a telegram to that effect. Moreover, taking into account the time that would be required for the commander's new order to be transmitted to the troops under his command, acting on the intention of the Central Command, the Vice Chief of the General Staff issued the following order by telegram to the Commander of the Military Police Unit at Hsinichow on the south bank of the Yalu River: 'If any Korean Army Units should attempt to cross the Yalu River, not knowing the orders prohibiting the expedition, the intention of the Central Command shall be conveyed in order to prevent any advance to the north of Hsinichow. '

"By these steps on the part of the Central Command, the dispatch of expeditionary forces from Korea to Manchuria was prohibited.

"(3) As stated above the Central Command
was determined to prevent the incident from spreading.
Moreover at 10:00 a.m. the Government held am
emergency Cabinet Council and decided on a policy of
not allowing the incident to spread beyond its present

15 16

> 17 18

19

20

21

23

24

proportions. The evening of the same day, the 19th, the Central Command issued an order to stop the spreading of the incident to the Commanders of the Kwantung and Korean Armies.

"(4) On September 20, the Central Command was watching the situation without taking any special steps. In the evening of the 21st, however, the Kwantung Army reported that they had dispatched a part of their force to Kirin in order to rescue our nationals resident there who were being suddenly oppressed by the Chinese authorities there and were in imminent danger. At the time another report came from the Commander of the Korean Army that as he knew that the force near Mukden was close to nothing with the dispatch of forces to Kirin, he judged that he should help the Kwantung Army out of danger as soon as possible, and ordered the detachment which had been ordered to stay put, to be transported to Mukden at his own discretion crossing the frontier.

"The Central Command recognized the steps taken by the two armies in view of the actual state of affairs in the districts affected as unavoidable and requested the War Minister to have the expenditure for the Korean Army's expedition sanctioned by the Cabinet Council on the following day, the 22nd. On

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the 22nd, since the Cabinet under Premier WAKATSUKI at its morning session, approved the expenditure, the Chief of the General Staff submitted it to the Emperor for an ex post facto approval. I remember this petitioning to the Emperor by the Chief of the General Staff as to have been made at about 10:30 a.m. of the 22nd and I heard it was to have taken place immediately after Premier WAKATSUKI's report to the Emperor on the Cabinet's decision.

"(5) Thus this problem of dispatching troops from Korea was settled for the time being and the Central Command deemed that this action of the Kwantung Army of sending troops to Kirin had brought military actions in Manchuria to a close. They further ordered that the military force dispatched to Kirin should be evacuated to the zone along the lines of the South Manchurian Railway as soon as the situation subsided. In order to check any further action of the Kwantung Army, on the evening of September 22, they sent the following telegram to the Commander of the Kwantung Army: 'We now deem military action in Manchuria to have for the most part fulfilled its purpose and to have now reached a conclusion. Any further action will have a close relationship with our domestic and foreign policies, so be careful in

considering matters and do not start new actions until instructions from the Central Command are received and then execute them.'

"VII. The Relationship of the Central Command with the Intermittent Military Movements in Manchuria. From the Above Time to the End of January 1932 (7th year of Showa).

"I resigned my position with General Staff
Headquarters at the end of January, 1932 (7th year of
Showa). I shall relate the relationship of the
Central Command with the military movements within
Manchuria up to that time.

"(1) Following the outbreak of the Mukden Incident, the situation was threatening in the territories of Chientao and Harbin, where many Japanese people lived. The head of the Japanese Residents Association in Chientao and the Chief of Special Service Agencies in Harbin telegraphed to the Chief of the General Staff demanding the dispatch of troops for their self-defence several times, but the latter did not respond to the above request for troops, holding fast to the principle of not utilizing military force on a widespread basis outside of the adjoining areas of the Manchurian Railway. At the same time, the Commanders of the Kwantung and Korean

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Armies were notified of this main point. Regarding Harbin and the ardent demands of the vice-consul there, the Commander of the Kwantung Army who was then preparing to dispatch troops thereupon severely restrained and put a stop to the matter.

"(2) Around the beginning of October, Generals Ma Chan-Shan and Chang Hai-Pong of Manchuria and China began to dispute over the rights of the Amur River Province. Their forces confronted one another along the Tao-Anganchi Railway over which we possess influential rights. Ma's Army then destroyed the railway bridge at Nonni River to stop Chang's northward advance. For this reason the Manchurian Railway Company commenced repairs on the bridge under the protection of a small unit from the Kwantung Army. At this time our troops received a sudden attack from Ma's army and our troops had to fight bitterly in the vicinity of Tahin. Thereafter the two armies took the position of squaring off. The Central Command gave the Kwantung Army certain concrete stipulations and began peaceful negotiations to have Ma's army withdraw within a 10-day deadline but learning that General Ma Chan-Shan was not willing to consent, on November 17 the Central Command ordered the Commander of the Kwantung Army to destroy Ma's army and on

3

6

5

8

9

7

10

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

25

accomplishing this mission to quickly withdraw to the south. Accordingly after November 18th, several battles took place in the vicinity of Tsitsihar and Ma's troops were swept away.

"(3) After the conclusion of the above hostilities and while the Kwantung Army was assuming a course of Pacific action, several clashes occurred between Sino-Japanese forces around Tientsin in North China from around the 20th of November and on the night of the 26th the two forces clashed again. The garrison troops in China were extremely few in number, and lacking in strength to defend itself, requested reinforcements from the Kwantung Army. Commander of the Kwantung Army who received this request fortunately felt inclined to take this opportunity to settle the matter in the vicinity of Tsitsihar and decided to rescue our troops in distress and took the cause of having troops, who were in South Manchuria, advance to a position west of the Liao River, and also of having the troops in the vicinity of Tsitsihar sent west of the Liao River. The Central Command, however, who deemed the situation at Tientsin as not so important and at the same time in accordance with the policy of not allowing incidents to spread. gave strict orders to the Commander of the Kwantung

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Army on the afternoon of 27 November. 'Irrespective of however the immediate situation may be, withdraw completely the unit advanced to west of the Liao River to a point east of the same river.' Complying with these orders, the Commander of the Kwantung Army had the entire strength of the dispatched troops returned and assembled at Mukden during the night of the 28th.

"(4) Prior to this and after the outbreak of the Mukden incident, General Chang Hsueh-liang who was in North China had his military base set up in Chinchou, had established there also and in October, the Provisional Government of Mukden Province successively concentrated his troops in the same area. The Kwantung Army who received this information had the air reconnaissance unit attached to them reconnoiter this area on October 8th. In the midst of their reconnoitering action, however, they received fire from the ground and the air unit which was carrying bombs of ten kilogram or thereabouts, (about the caliber of mountain artillery shells) for self-defense purposes, dropped several scores of these bombs on the Chinese military barracks, the buildings of the Chinese Provisional Government, and the like.

24

"Receiving the report relating to this incident, the Central Command gave strict warning to the
Commander of the Kwantung Army, 'Even though it may
have been an inevitable action of self-defense at the
instant, extreme care shall be required in aircraft
activity at least over unarmed residential areas.'

"After the actions in the vicinity of
Tsitsihar and the withdrawal of the dispatched unit
from the western side of the Liao River, the Kwantung
Army was concentrating its efforts for securing peace
and order in all South Manchuria. For the reason
that the Chinchou bombing incident of the previous
section was propagandized way beyond actual facts to
the world, the military government of Chinchou gained
power from this and began active operations, and, furthermore, successively concentrated large number of
troops in Chinchou. It became quite clear that they
were utilizing bandits incessantly in South Manchuria
scheming to disrupt peace and order along the Manchurian
Railway.

"When I actually went to Manchuria in the latter part of December, I heard at the headquarters of the Kwantung Army that the commander and his staff officers were extremely concerned by the repeated violence along the South Manchurian Railroad by bandits

who maintained their base at Chinchou.

"I heard that the Japanese Government was negotiating with the Nanking Government and also with the Administrative Authority of Chang Hsueh-Liang through diplomatic channels at Peiping to have the military government at Chinchou withdraw to within the Great Wall, but did not see the results of this revealed.

"Furthermore, since the middle of December, the regular army (TN: of Chang) came out of the vicinity of Chinchou to a place near the South Manchurian Rail-road and took a course of action in cooperation with the bandits. With this, the Central Command, in order effectively to carry out the subjugation of bandits which was recognized as necessary at a conference of directors of the League of Nations on December 10 previously, had the Kwantung Army reinforced with the basic troop strength of two brigades under the command of the Division Commander of the 20th Division newly arrived from Korea from mid-December to later December, and allowed the Kwantung Army to carry out the thorough subjugation of bandits from all South Manchuria to the west of the Liao River.

"Thereupon the Kwantung Army dispatched forces

to subjugate the bandits west of the Liao River.

This punitive force began its operations on December 28 and on the 31st was advancing to an area eastward of Chinchou and on the left bank of the Taling River when the Chinchou Military Government evacuated this same area and our army entered the city of Chinchou on January 3, 1932 (7th year of Showa) truly without bloodshed. Thereafter the Kwantung Army deployed its forces far and wide from the vicinity of Chinchou to all of South Manchuria and gave its undivided attention to the securing and maintenance of peace and order.

"In the manner indicated above, conditions in South Manchuria now indicated stability. In the north, however, in the vicinity of Kirin and Harbin from around the beginning of 1932 (7th year of Showa) dissension in ranks of the troops (TN: Chinese) in Kirin occurred and the anti-Japanese elements among them plundered Harbin: committed outrageous acts such as killing a Japanese and three Koreans, putting under arrest a great number of Koreans, and so forth. Thus a total of 5,500 Japanese and Korean residents were exposed to extreme danger. At this time, on January 27, an incident occurred where a military plane of ours which was reconnoitering this same area received fire

6 7 8

3

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

from the anti-Japanese forces and had to make an emergency landing. Furthermore, the officer on board was killed and the plane burned. Thereupon the Kwantung Army, for the purpose of protecting the residents in Harbin, and deeming it necessary for some forces to be dispatched to this area, sought permission from the Central Command. The Central Command approved this. According to this our forces entered Harbin at the beginning of February 1932 (2nd year of Shewa) and pursued their task of maintaining peace and order in the area.

"The above are the general highlights of the relation of our military movements within Manchuria with the Central Command over a period of four months from the time following the Mukden Incident up to the time I left General Staff Headquarters towards the end of January 1932 (7th year of Showa). During this period following the Mukden Incident our military movements were not consistent with a plan, but unavoidable to meet successive occurring incidental circumstances despite the Central Command's policy of not allowing incidents to spread. Giving new orders for military movements to the Kwantung Army could not be avoided.

"VIII. Change of Personnel in Key Positions

25

24

of the Central Command.

"From around December 1931 (6th year of Showa), as to the military movements in Manchuria it was no longer expected that any new movements would be required and we saw successive changes of personnel in the key positions of the Central Command. From my position at the time I did not know of the reasons for these changes of personnel in the key positions.

All I know is that at the time of my leaving General Staff Headquarters at the end of January 1932 the changes made were as follows:

"1. General KANAYA, Hanzo left the position of the Chief of the General Staff and Prince KOTOHIKO assumed the post.

"2. Lieutenant General NINOMIYA, Juji left the position of Assistant Chief of the General Staff and Lieutenant General MASAKI, Jinzaburo assumed the position.

"3. Major General TATEKAWA, Yoshitsugu left the position of Chief of the First Section of the General Staff, and Major General FUkUSHO, Mikiro assumed the position.

"4. Colonel IMAMURA, Hitoshi left the position of Chief of the Second Branch of the General Staff, and Colonel OBATA, Toshishiro assumed the position.

2 3

5

8

9

10

11

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

"Because of this, on December 11th, the
WAKATSUKI Cabinet resigned, and General MINAMI, Jiro
who was the War Minister, left his position. On the
13th of the same month the INUKAI Cabinet, which was
formed, had Lieutenant General ARAKI, Sadao assuming
the position of War Minister."

Cross-examine.

IR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, in the last paragraph "Because of this, on December 11th," I think is an error; it should be "by the way," and I ask the Language Section to check that. I would not want to cross-examine on it. I think it can be cleared up in that manner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

- Q General KAWABE, in which section of the general staff were you serving in 1931?
 - A The first division of the general staff.
 - Q The first? Did you say the first?
 - A Yes, I said so.
- Q Do you know that your affidavit says the second?
 - A I said second section of the first division.
- Q Your affidavit says the second operations section of the general staff.

3

6

8

5

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

20

22

23

A	Section two is under division one, and it is
in char	ge of operations.
Q	Who was the head of it?
A	May I have the question repeated? I do not
unders	tand it very well.
Q	Who was the head of your section?
A	Since August 1931 Colonel IMAMURA was chief
of the	section.
Q	And who was the chief of the first division?
A	Major General TATEKAWA was director of the
first	livision.
Q	How many people were there superior to you
in the	division?
A	In my division I think three or four.
Q	Do you mean in your section or in the division?
A	My division.
Q	Was the accused General HATA, Shunroku a
member	of the general staff at that time?
A	Major General HATA, Shunroku was not on duty
in the	general staff headquarters since August 1931.
Q	What was his position in March 1931?
A	I recall that Major General HATA was chief
of the	first division in March 1931.
Q	Then he was succeeded was he not by TATEKAWA
in Aug	

That is how I recall it. THE PRESIDENT: Well, this is a convenient break. We will adjourn until half past one. (Whereupon, at 1200 a recess was taken.)

•

Spratt & Yelden

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Par East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

TORASHIRO KAWABE, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and
testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

- Q Is General TATEKAWA still alive?
- A I have heard that he has died.
- O Is Colonel IMANURA still alive?
- A I have heard that Colonel IMAMURA is somewhere in the Southern Region.
- Q He would know much more about the matters to which you have testified than you would, wouldn't he?
 - A Yes, I should think so.
- Q Do you remember General TATEKAWA being sent to Mukden shortly before the 18th of September?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Were you told why he was sent?
- A Shortly after Major General TATEKAWA left Tokyo I asked Colonel IMAMURA, my section chief, where

he went and why he went. Colonel IMANURA's explanation in response to my question was as follows: Colonel IMANURA said that as a result of the acute aggravation of the atmosphere as regards Japanese-Chinese relations in Manchuria recently are concerned -- In view of the fact that Chinese-Japanese relations were extremely acute and aggravated recently in Manchuria, various rumors based upon such situations were reaching the higher authorities of the army and as Colonel IMANURA explained to me, General TATEKAWA was sent to see the actual situation in Manchuria as the Kwantung Army saw it and also to listen to the views and opinions of the Kwantung Army itself, and at the same time to communicate to the Kwantung Army the views as well as ideas entertained by the Central Army Authorities.

Q Let us try to make this a little more definite. Do you not know that what you have called "various rumors" was a report from the Japanese Consulin Mukden that the Kwantung Army was plotting to bring about an incident which would start war in Manchuria?

A I know nothing at all about that telegram.

And do you not know that the message which General TATEKAWA was ordered to deliver was an order from the Emperor that they should do nothing of the kind?

A I have not heard at all to such an effect.

Q Do you know that General TATEKAWA failed to deliver the message?

A I heard of it later.

Q Then what did you hear was the message which he had failed to deliver?

A That question is not quite clear to me.

Q What was the message which you were told that he had failed to deliver?

A Yes, generally in its outline.

O I am afraid I don't understand your answer.

Do you mean that the message was as I put it to you:

an order from the Emperor that the Kwantung Army
should not start an incident in Manchuria?

A No.

? Then what do you mean?

heard of it and I have spoken of this before is that
Major General TATEKAWA was dispatched to Manchuria to
become acquainted with the actual situation existing
there through the Kwantung Army and also to communicate
to the Kwantung Army the policies and aims of the
Central Army Authorities, which was at that time based
upon prudence in the light of the international situation.

2

4

3

5

7

.

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21

23

24

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

That still doesn't answer my question. What was the message which you told me just now that 2 General TATEKAWA failed to deliver? That was the intention of the Central Army Authorities of which I have spoken. "hat was that intention? As I have said before, to communicate to the Kwantung Army the policies and aims of the Central Army Authorities to think and to act prudently in order to prevent any unnecessary international troubles and controversies. Who told you about all this? Colonel IMAMURA. When? As I have said before, it was after Major

General TATEKAWA left Tokyo so I think it was around the 16th or 17th of September. I do not know correctly which.

But you know you told me that he said that General TATEKAWA had failed to deliver the message. He could not have known that until after the 18th of September, could he?

A Yes, and that is why I told you a little while ago that I heard of this later.

When is later -- when was later?

· A Quite sometime later. That was after General TATEKAWA had returned to Tokyo.

Q Did he tell you why General TATEKAWA failed to deliver the message?

A When General TATEKAWA arrived in Manchuria the incident had broke out and it was only from an historical point of view or as a piece of history that I heard that he had no opportunity to deliver that particular instruction inasmuch as an entirely new situation had already developed -- that is, the Manchurian Incident had already broken out.

Q Don't you know that he arrived there before the incident broke out?

A From what I have heard he arrived there on
that very night.

Q And in time to deliver the message if he

Q And in time to deliver the message if he had wanted to?

A I am not familiar with those details; nor have I any recollections.

Q Do you know who appointed or chose General TATEKAWA to go on this mission?

A I should think it was the Chief of the Army General Staff.

Q Don't you know it was General KOISO?

A No, I do not know. However, at the present moment I would imagine that General KOISO would have no authority to direct the First Division Chief of the General Staff Headquarters to go on such a mission because KOISO was then Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Office.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I understand that Major Moore has a correction to make in the translation of this witness's affidavit. Perhaps it would be convenient if he makes it before I go any further.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President,

with the Tribunal's permission we present the following language correction in the document under consideration, exhibit 2408,page 18, line 2:

Substitute "incidentally" for "because of this."

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Comyns Carr.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Were not KOISO and TATEKAWA great friends?

A Yes, it seemed that they were friends.

Q Would it be correct to say that KOISO recommended TATEKAWA to General MINAMI as the man

A Because of my rank at that time and being unfamiliar with the details, it is difficult for me to reply either "yes" or "no."

Q Would not that same remark apply to a great many things that you have stated in your affidavit?

A It is rather difficult for me to understand that present query. Do you mean to say, Mr. Prosecutor, that most of the things that I state in my affidavit are ambiguous?

Q No, I mean to ask you whether many of the things that you state in your affidavit are not things which you do not really know?

who should go?

A In my affidavit I have stated with respect to things which I have heard I have said so; with respect to what I did I state that I did so; with respect to what I know I say I know; and with respect to what I do not know I say I do not know.

Q Would it be true to say that TATEKAWA did not deliver the message because it had been arranged between him and KOISO that he should not deliver its

A The question is directed to something with which I am without knowledge, and therefore I cannot reply.

Q Was something of the kind amongst the things that you were told afterwards by IMAMURA?

A No.

Q Well, now, I want to come to page 8 of your affidavit, where you describe what happened on the morning of the 19th of September. You say in the middle of the page: "Around nine o'clock Section Chief IMAMURA came to me with a happy look and showed me a slip of paper on which several lines of characters were written in pencil and said, 'The Vice-Chief of the General Staff (Lieutenant General NINOMIYA), Chief of the Hilitary Affairs Bureau of the Army (Lieutenant General KOISO) and

4 5

7 8 9

others reached this resolution after a deliberate investigation from this morning." And you go on:
"The slip of paper read: 'Actuated by this incident, the army expects to solve the Manchurian problem.!"

Are those the words that were put on the slip of paper?

A The last words were not written on the slip of paper.

Q Exactly what was written on the slip of paper?

A I mean to say that it was written on the slip of paper that actuated by this incident the army expects to settle the Manchurian problems, and by that is meant that efforts will be made and that it was expected that Chang Tso-lin would fulfil the treaties, that is the present treaties between Japan and China.

Q Are you swearing that the words were written on the slip of paper from "by that is meant" onwards?

A Yes.

Q Where is the slip of paper?

A At present? Are you asking where that slip of paper is at present?

.....

Q Yes.

A After I had copied what was written on this slip of paper into my secret operations diary I destroyed it.

Q Where is your secret operations diary?

A I do not know where it is at the present.

I do not think it exists. It is not my personal

diary -- it was not my personal diary.

Q When did you last see it?

A I was separated from that diary when I left the Army General Staff Headquarters in January, 1932. That is the last I know of it.

16

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

KAWABE

Kapleau & Goldberg

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Have you made any attempt to find it to refresh your memory?

A No.

Q Now I suggest to you that the words explaining what is meant by "solving the Manchurian problem" carnot have been written on the slip of paper.

A That was written on the slip of paper.

Q Why should anybody write down a statement on the slip of paper and then write down what it meant?

A These words were actually written on the slip of paper. That is a fact. Whether it is wise or good practice or what you might say -- or reasonable to use such an expression or not, well, I have views of my own; and my views are that this expression was used in order to make more concrete the rather abstract statement with reference to the settlement of the Manchurian problem.

Q Is not that explanation something that you have thought of recently for the purpose of your evidence?

A That is merely suspicion on your part; and I am speaking from my own conscience that it was a fact.

Q Had it not for a long time been the intention

of General TATEKAWA and others on the army staff to solve the Manchurian problem by occupying Manchuria?

A No

Q And was it not perfectly well known to all of you in the army staff that that was what was meant by "solving the Manchurian problem"?

A That is not so.

Q And was not that why Colonel IMAMURA had a happy look?

A Shall I explain what I meant by a happy look?

Q If you like.

A On the morning of the 19th of September when everything was humming -- was hummingly busy I knocked at the door of the office in which Colonel IMAMURA was located. It was a time when there was a great deal -- a mountain of business to be done and the happy look on his face reflected his joy over the fact that the high authorities of the army had decided -- or had finally came to a decision.

Q How long did it take them?

A I did not state because I did not know when the particular conference was started. As I have stated in the affidavit, I arrived at the General

2

ſ

5

6

7

.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

Staff Headquarters at seven o'clock in the morning and it was about nine o'clock -- and until nine o'clock or thereabouts I was unable to meet my Section Chief.

Q You go on to say that Colonel IMAMURA told you the leaders fell in with the view that the actions of the Kwantung Army were admissible as exercising the right of self-defense. Did he tell you what information they had which brought them to that conclusion?

A That I have not asked Colonel IMAMURA for explanation.

Q Now on page 14 you mention self-defense again in connection with the bombing of Chinchou. You say that the planes --

THE MONITOR: Can you give us the section, Mr. Carr? I think it gives the sub-division, 4, 5, etc.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It is VII, sub-division 4.
THE MONITOR: On page 14?

MR. COMYNS CARR: On page 14 of the English.

It begins the bottom of page 13 of the English, but

I am reading on page 14.

THE MONITOF: Yes, all right, sir.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q You say that planes -- Japanese planes were reconncitering on October 8th. Then you go on:

"In the midst of their reconncitering action, however, they received fire from the ground and the air unit which was carrying bombs of 10 kilogram or thereabouts, (about the caliber of mountain artillery shells) for self-defense purposes, ..."

I stop there.

Will you explain to us why an airplane carries bombs for self-defense?

A We learned from a report of the Kwantung Army headquarters that when aircraft units of the kwantung Army went on reconnaissance they received fire from the ground and in retaliation and for self-defense, as the report stated, they used the bombs which they had been carrying and that was interpreted by those on the receiving end of the report that it was an act of self-defense.

Q This time you say "retaliation and self-defense." Why did you leave out "retaliation" before?

A Well, why, I couldn't say, but I will have to admit that I forgot about it.

Q It could only be for offense or for retaliation, couldn't it?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Carr, when you said

"it could only be," you mean carrying of the bombs
in the plane, is that what you mean?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes.

A I think this goes into the realm of general theory, but retaliation could be considered as self-defense, and carrying arms for purposes of self-defense enables that party to carry out a retaliatory act. It is purely a matter of theory, I think.

CROSS

- Carrying bombs also enables them to carry out an offensive action, doesn't it?
 - A Yes.
- Now. on page 15, second paragraph in the English, being part of sub-paragraph 5 of the same section, you speak of "repeated violence along the South Manchurian Railroad by bandits who maintained their base at Chinchou."

A little earlier you told us that Chinchou was the military base of Chang Hsueh-liang. Do you mean that Chang Hsueh-liang's forces were bandits?

- No, I regard the Chang Hsueh-liang army as a regular army.
- Q Well, then, what led you to believe that these people that you speak of, with a base at Chinchou, were bandits?
- A I heard the report, and my statement is based on this report that there were bandits active in that area who were being manipulated by Chang

4

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

.

6

7

9

10

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

25

Hsueh-lieng, with headquarters in Chinchou.

- Q Is not the truth that the Japanese army described all Chinese forces, when it suited them, as bandits in order to deceive the League of Nations?
 - A No, I don't believe that.
- O And are you not describing them as bandits in the hope of deceiving this Court?

THE PRESIDENT: I think he is entitled to protection against those observations, Mr. Cerr.

of little lower down you say: "Furthermore, since the middle of December the regular army came out of the vicinity of Chinchou," and so on; and after that you go on to describe a number of other operations, all of which you suggest became necessary for particular local reasons.

Do not you know that as soon as General ARAKI became war minister, namely, on the 17th of December, the government, according to him, decided that the army should occupy the whole of the three. Northeastern Provinces and Jehol?

- A No, I do not know.
- O And did not the General Staff, on whose behalf you claim to be speaking, receive an order in writing from General ARAKI to that effect?

MR. McMANUS: If it pleases the Court, I

would like to make a personal objection on behalf of the defendant ARAKI, and I request that be heard briefly.

If your Honor please, on many occasions during this trial I suggested to the Court to call the Court's attention to the fact that the interrogatories obtained by the prosecution of General ARAKI were complained of by General ARAKI on many occasions as being incorrect. The Tribunal replied on the occasions when I brought this matter before them that General ARAKI would have a chance on his individual phase to make a true and correct statement, according to him.

Now it is my contention and objection that the prosecution is endeavoring to test the credibility of this witness and other witnesses on this general phase by referring to excerpts from the interregatories of ARAKI which he claims to be full of errors because of the inadequate translation at the time when they were taken. If your Honor permits the credibility of these witnesses to be tested on these statements, the burden then would fall upon General ARAKI to substantiate every statement that these witnesses take during this general phase. The prosecution admitted during the course

of this trial that they permitted General ARAKI
to make several voluntary statements and these statements were not introduced into evidence by the
prosecution at any time during this trial. The
only interrogatories which were permitted, or were
introduced at least by the prosecution into evidence were those that were objected as being incorrect and full of errors. I don't think that at
this time the Prosecutor should be permitted to test
the credibility of any witness by statements which
we claim to be incorrect and full of errors.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I have not checked the matter. I am not aware that at any time, either in writing or in this court or in any other way, has the correctness of this admission of ARAKI's in his interrogatories been challenged.

I say I was not notified that this point was going to be taken and therefore I have not had the opportunity of checking it; but in any event, in my submission, as long as the evidence is there it stands until corrected on the witness stand by ARAKI, when the Tribunal will have the opportunity of judging between the two statements. Furthermore, I am entitled, in my submission, to ask this witness whether the thing did not happen, quite

CROSS

Wolf & orse

THE PRESIDENT: I can see nothing wrong with the course you are taking, Mr. Carr. I am unable to follow Mr. McManus' point. Nevertheless, that federal rule is operating in this Court and after what I read from Wigmore today I do not profess to know all about it. I take it he is relying on that federal rule. I can think of nothing else that could be invoked. That rule has been responsible for an avalanche of appeals in America and the American bar are trying to get rid of it. However, we must hold that you are entitled to ask that question.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q Then, General, were the general staff informed in the second part of December, 1931, that the government had decided that all Manchuria, including Jehol, should be occupied by the Kwantung Army?

as follows: The first point is that there was a cabinet change in Japan about that time and just after the change of cabinet I accompanied the former War Minister, General MINAMI, on a trip to Manchuria and returned only in the middle part of the following January so I am not familiar -- I don't have any factual familiarity with the conditions in my absence. That is point one. And the second point is that I have

never heard of it after I returned from this trip.

And shortly after my return I was transferred to
another post and even after that I have never heard
of that. That is all.

Q Now, I will return to the earlier part of your affidavit, pages 2 and 3, where you sneak of a plan for operations against the U.S.S.R. You say that --

THE MONITOR: Please give us the section, Mr. Carr. We would like to quote the exact words.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am not going to quote any particular words. It doesn't matter.

Q (Continuing) You say that such a war was not expected, and, then, on page 4 -- this time I will quote a few words -- section 3, first paragraph you said:

"Troop Disposition Plans of the Central Command at the time.

"As the Central Command had taken a national defense outlook, as stated in the previous section, their troop disposition plans were fundamentally very passive. They did not have any plans made to fight against several countries at the same time, but only a plan of troop disposition to fight singly with China, the U.S.A. or with the U.S.S.R. respectively" --

In the spring of 1931 did not the General Staff have a survey made secretly of Manchuria with a view to operations against the U.S.S.R.?

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, we wish to object to counsel reading parts of a sentence. If he wishes to refresh the witness' memory as to what he said, we feel he should read the entire sentence and give the witness the benefit of the entire thought.

THE PRESIDENT: If the thought is expressed in more than one sentence, yes; but is it?

MR. COMYNS CARR: In this case, your Honor -your Honor, I stopped where I did because the rest
of the sentence is irrelevant for the purpose of which
I was going to use it. But, if my friend wishes it,
I have no objection to read the rest.

THE PRESIDENT: Read along.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It goes on afterwards, I read:

"-- or with the U.S.S.R. respectively,
based on the fact that if, in the event that war
should break out for one reason or another, they
had expected their opponents to be limited to but one
nation by the use of political or diplomatic measures."

I better repeat my question.

Q (Continuing) In the spring of 1931 did not the General Staff cause a survey to be made of Manchuria and Korea from the point of view of operations against the USSR?

THE MONITOR: By "survey" did you mean land survey or investigation of everything?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Investigation.

A The General Staff Headquarters has continuously conducted investigation on the basis of various information received by it.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

2

5

6

7

9

2

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Greenberg & Eder

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Because the Supreme Commander has proclaimed that Monday shall be a holiday, called Army Holiday, the Tribunal, by a majority, has decided to observe Monday as a holiday.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

PR. COMYNS CARR: I am now proposing to refer the witness to prosecution exhibit 691-A, of which, I think, copies have been supplied to the members of the Tribunal.

CROSS-TXAMINATION

BY IR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

- Q Do you remember Colonel SUZUKI, Shigeyasu?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you remember his making a report on the 16th of March, 1931, of an investigation he had made in Manchuria with a view to possible operations against the Soviet Union?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr, I think that the Tribunal does not desire that you should put to this witness matters from that report, unless there is a fair chance that you are likely to get answers that are fevorable to you, and we see no prospect of that. We think it is only a waste of

23 24

time, and its only purpose is to remind us that there is such evidence.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If your Honor please -THE MONITOR: During the President's
remark the witness replied "I do not know."

O Immediately after you left the general staff did you become a military attache to the embassy in the Soviet Union?

A Yes.

And did you write on the 14th of July 1932 to Tokyo and report with regard to military operations against the Soviet Union?

A Yes, I did.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Fight he see the original of exhibit 701, please?

(Thereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Is that your report? Or rather, a photo copy of your report?

A Yes.

O I will read the first and third paragraphs to the witness. Are you ready?

MR. WARREN: If your Honor please, I think this is outside the scope of the direct examination of this witness.

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

THE PRESIDENT: What is the exhibit, Mr. Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: It's about plans for war. It is about plans for war against Russia, China, and in certain circumstances, America.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be in the same field as the affidavit of the witness, and that is a test, according to Mr. Justice McDougall, who understands the Canadian rule.

Objection overruled.

Q First paragraph.

"The exploitation of Manchuria and Mongolia aiming at the guarantee of the nation's economic life, security of our national defense and establishing the foundation of existence and progress of our Empire is the urgent need of the present and the most memorable achievement ever known. So the whole nation must exert utmost efforts whole-heartedly."

Then the third paragraph.

"As to the obstacles such as Chinese manoeuvers, restraints by the League of Nations, acts of America and Russia, etc. against the accomplishment of the great task, we should employ proper diplomacy and endeavor to exclude the

3

5

7

.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

obstacles as well as avoiding making matters worse, but it is necessary for us to be ready to appeal to arms against Russia, China and under certain circumstances against America if it is inevitable.

Therefore, the emphasis must be laid on the repletion of military armaments against Russia."

And then the last paragraph on page 1, the first sentence.

"A Russo-Japanese war in the future is unavoidable."

Q Now, General KAWABE, in your affidavit, the paragraph on page 4 which I read just now, you said, "But all the plans in 1931 were made on the assumption that Japan would only fight one country at a time." Here, less than a year later, you are contemplating war against at least three countries at a time. Had new plans been made in the interval?

A Let me reply. In my affidavit I spoke of the general, overall policy or plan in existence prior to September, 1931 as drawn up by the General Staff Office or, in other words, the Army High Command. What is in this document now referred to was written by me a year later as the military attache of the Japanese Embassy in the Soviet Union and represents my personal views based upon the conditions and situations in the Soviet Union as I observed them.

The prosecutor has implied or has asked me whether or not such a plan had been changed a year later. Now, I do not know, but it is my assumption that no changes were effected.

Q Then you were proposing a change, is that it?
MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I suggest the time
differential takes this outside the scope of the
direct examination, an attempt to impeach the witness
on collateral basis.

THE PRESIDENT: If it does not arise directly out of the examination in chief, it certainly should be material for testing his credit because it comes from the same field. I do not want to be dogmatic about a rule that I have never applied before and do not understand.

MR. WARREN: My objection is based in part on the American rule, your Honor, and in part on a restriction in limitations placed upon defense. In our defense, if I recall correctly, and I am certain I do, we were limited to the test of the credibility of the witness by the scope of the direct examination. We feel that the prosecution should have the same limitations placed upon them as we had upon us in our cross-examination.

THE PRESIDENT: The only intimations I have received from my colleagues on this are unfavorable to you, Colonel Warren. My colleagues seem to think that this arises directly out of the examination in chief.

MR. WARREN: Under those circumstances, I withdraw the objection.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.
BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q My question was, General KAWABE, were you proposing a change in the plans?

Yes, I was proposing a change in the plans. Α . Would you describe the ideas which you are putting here as defensive plans? THE MONITOR: Mr. Carr, when you say "Would you describe," do you mean, "Would you call it a defensive plan?" or do you mean, "Would you describe the thing?" MR. COMYNS CARR: Oh, no: Would you call it a defensive plan? A Do you mean to ask whether or not the 10 opinions and views which I had addressed to the cen-11 tral army authorities were defensive? 12 Q Yes. 13 It is the general national defense formula. Now we know what you mean by "defense," and 15 I will leave that. 16 Now, I would ask that the witness be shown 17 prosecution document No. 2979. We have translations 18 for the Tribunal and for defending counsel. 19 THE PRESIDENT: This is not an exhibit? 20 MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor. I would 21 like the witness to see the Japanese original or 23 photostatic copy of it. (Whereupon, a document was handed 24

25

to the witness.)

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q (Continuing) Have you identified those documents as being photostatic copies of instructions which you received in 1932 from the Chief and the Assistant Chief of Staff in Tokyo?

A Yes.

Q The first page reads:

"Instructions Concerning Strategem

"You shall receive delegated orders from the military attache of the Japanese Embassy in France and take charge of the execution of the strategem. You shall read the instructions for strategem given to the military attache of the Japanese Embassy in France which Lieutenant Colonel DOBASHI in your country will show you. In order to let Major KAWAMATA inspect the document in Warsaw, you shall communicate with Lieutenant Colonel DOBASHI and inform Major KAWAMATA of the date he is to come to Warsaw."

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, may we have this document offered for identification in order that, if we have to refer back to it later, we may do so? And also, we do not have copies of this document in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: I understood Mr. Carr to say that he gave the defense a copy.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, I thought it had been

23

21

22

23

24

25

done, your Honor. My friend says it had been taken away again, your Honor.

MR. WARREN: This document catches us by surprise, and there are many defense counsel who should like to be informed on this matter to protect their own clients.

Duda & Whalen

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I am afraid there is only a limited number of copies, and apparently there were only just enough for the Members of the Tribunal. But if one Member of the Tribunal would be so kind as to let the defense have his copy and share with another -- I am sorry to have to suggest it, but it would be most convenient.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to a defense counsel.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: I would point out, however, that as far as my experience goes, we are not under obligation when a document is used in cross-examination to supply copies. We try to do so as a matter of convenience and courtesy.

I quite accede to the suggestion that the document should now be marked for identification, if your Honor pleases.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, it seems to us that when a document is tendered for identification and is to be referred to, that all defense counsel should have a copy. We might wish to make some reference thereto at some future date. Without it we are unable to perform our function properly.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, your course is clear, Mr. Carr, there is no real objection.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

Mr. COMYNS CARR: Copies can be supplied later. But the Tribunal will appreciate that we only get very short notice of what these witnesses are going to say, and our cross-examination has to be prepared in a great hurry.

Then the second -- what is marked as page three of the document, I will read: "Instructions pe taining to Stratagem given to the Military Attache of the Imperial Embassy in France.

- "(1) In regard to this Stratagem, the Military Attaches of the Imperial Embassy in France shall be given delegated command of the organs in Europe and Turkey.
- "(2) The Military Attaches of the Imperial Embassy in France shall determine the necessary plans, which is to be based upon the appended Essentials of Stratagem and have it reported by 10 April 1934.
- "(3) Those which seem relatively important in your plans must be reported simultaneously with the precedent item."

I am told that there is a correction in the third paragraph which I just read: It should be "those expenditures which seem relatively important."

> THE PRESIDENT: That appears in our copies. MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes. Mine wasn't corrected,

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

your Honor.

Page 4, "Essentials of Stratagem.

"I. War with the USSR.

"1. In peace time, the actual state of communication in the Far East carried out by the USSR and the Third --

THE PRESIDENT: Communization.

MR. COMYNS CARR: "Communization in the Far East carried out by the USSR and the Third" -- "Inter" is inserted, which somebody has interpreted has "Comintern" -- "shall be propagandized and the Empire's /TN: Japan's/ situation against the USSR's communizing policy shall be recognized, and thus the basis for reason of a righteous path for war with the USSR will be understood.

- "2. In order to make the USSR's resources of wer impotent as soon as possible after the opening hostilities, the following measures will be carried out:
- "(1) Independence movement of Ukraine, Georgia, and Azerbaijan shall be supported and those areas shall be disturbed.
- "(2) Organizations of anti-Soviet Russians who took refuge from the USSR will make contacts with their fellow-men in the USSR, and will breed riots

24

in the various areas, stir up feeling against war, and plot the destruction of the government of the USSR.

"3. If friendly relation with France,
Poland, the Little Entente, and the states along the
coast of Baltic Sea and Turkey will be maintained,
these countries will enforce the measures in the
aforementioned article, and will inevitably accord
facilities in the enforcement of our stratagem.

"II. War with China

"By exposing the dark side of atrocities which is contrary to internationalism, and humanitarian, and is peculiar to the Chinese from peace time, and at the same time by making propaganda of such actual matters as debouchment of the communist army, plots and activities of the communist party, the inevitable conditions for protection of interest by arms will be recognized.

"5. In order to restrain USSR from participating in war, the measures in Article" -- Has the Tribunal got a number inserted there? My copy hasn't.

THE PRESIDENT: No.

MR. COMYNS CARR: There probably should be one. "In Article will be enforced if necessary.

"III. War with the United States.

"In regards to war with United States, Article

2

5

.

9

10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

5 will be applicable.

"IV. Supplementary Provisions.

"7. As soon as the opportunity of opening hostilities has become perceptible, the stratagem and intelligence organs in Europe and Turkey are to be enlarged as in supplementary chart.

"8. Regarding the enforcement of this plan excepting Articles 1 and 4, only its preparations are to be made in so far as special instructions are not given."

Article 1 was the one relating to propaganda in the USSR, and Article 4 was the one relating to preparing the inevitable conditions for protection of interest by arms in China.

Then the chart on page 6 shows that organs in almost every -- in a large number of countries within Europe, Asia and South America are to be controlled from Paris and from India by the military attaches in those countries.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR: (Continued)

Q General KAWABE, do you notice that the three countries against whom war is contemplated in this document received from your general staff are the same as those which you had yourself suggested in your letter to them of the 14th of July?

A Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

What had occurred since September 1931 to cause this extension of plans?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Carr, by "extension of plans" do you mean expansion, or just the carrying on, continuation?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Extension.

THE INTERPRETER: We are asking, Mr. Carr, whether you mean expanding the plan or continuing the plan?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Extending, increasing.

What do you mean? What plan are you referring to since September 1931?

I am referring to this stratagem which you received from the General Staff in October, 1932; and I am contrasting it with the statement in your affidavit that in September 1931 you only had plans against one country at a time.

I shall reply on the basis of my understanding of the question if I am not mistaken. My understanding of the question is that before September 1931, there were operational plans existing not against several but one; one nation. And the question is whether or not there was some kind of an occurrence which required the extension of the plan since that date.

Am I correct?

19 20

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22 23

24

Q Yes.

A As I have said before, the Japanese Army General Staff and the High Command, whether these organs actually had expanded their operational plan or not, that I do not know. However, after one year of observation of conditions in Soviet Russia following my assignment to that post, I felt certain apprehensions that it would be unfortunate for Japan if her plans were not extended, and for that reason I sent in writing my views and opinions to my superiors in Tokyo; and this is nothing more than the expression of my personal opinion as Military Attache of the Japanese Embassy in the Soviet Union.

Q And your superiors adopted your idea, didn't they?

A Whether they did or not, I do not know.

Q Did you carry out the instructions given you by the Chief of Staff in the document last read?

A Do you mean with regard to the plan of stratagem?

Q Yes.

A Yes, various preparations were forwarded.

Q Do you know where they are now.

THE INTERPRETER: What I mean by "Sorwarded," were pushed forward, not preparations were made.

-

Did they take the form of written plans as requested by the Chief of Staff? A At the orders of the Chief of the Army General Staff, I expressed my opinions and views to the Military Attache, KASAI, in Paris. Do you know what became of them? I do not know. MR. COMYNS CARR: That is all I have to ask, your Honor. But in my submission, as the witness has now identified this document and said that it is the 10 document he received, I ask that it be admitted in 11 evidence. 12 MR. WARREN: We have no objection if there 13 are Japanese copies available, your Honor. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Do you have copies available? 15 MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor, we have. 16 THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual 17 terms. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 19 No. 2979 will receive exhibit No. 2409 for identifi-20 cation only. 21 MR. COMYNS CARR: No -- now for evidence. 22 CLERK OF THE COURT: Will receive No. 2409 23 for evidence. 24

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 2409 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, no redirect examination, but we desire to make known to the Tribunal that this witness will necessarily be recalled in the China phase of the defense presentation, which is the section following this. And with that understanding, the witness may be excused at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: He is at liberty on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE PRESIDENT: It is too late to start on another witness or another document.

MR. MATTICE: This witness will be used on behalf of OSHIMA; also, as I understand it, on behalf of DOHIHARA.

Now, we were ready to call the next witness, but it is so close to adjournment time I am wondering whether it is worthwhile to do so.

If your Honor please, some suggestion was made, Mr. Quillen and I were of the opinion that the details of the matter of taking the testimony by commission be determined in chambers, but no arrangement about that has been made as yet. Does your Honor

desire that we meet in chambers following adjournment or what is the Court's suggestion?

THE PRESIDENT: Prepare a short commission because no oath will be required, the commission being taken by one of the memoers, and I shall say whether I will approve *I it or not. I expect you to initiate the matter. We told you, in effect, Mr. Mattice, we wouldn't accept this evidence except on commission. Now, you want that evidence given, you prepare the commission, and I will say whether I approve or not. The drafting facilities of the Court will be available to you. And I will be prepared to revise it as soon as it is ready. You can fix any date that suits you and a Member of the Court will take the commission.

MR. MATTICE: That will be very well, if the Court please. I was about to remark that it seems impossible to do it on next Monday. With that understanding, we will--

THE PRESIDENT: Because of the location of the mospital, I agree with you, Mr. Mattice.

We will adjourn now until 0930 hours on - Tuesday morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Tuesday, 8 April 1947, at 0930.)